

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,660 12/03/2001		Hiroshi Miyajima	15126	9638	
7:	590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER		
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			ALLEN, DENISE S		
	NY 11530-0299		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/03/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	<del></del>	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summan	10/004,660	OX.	MIYAJIMA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<del>-                                    </del>
		Denise S Allen		2872	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover shee	et with the c	orrespondence addres	SS
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, m y within the statutory minimum o vill apply and will expire SIX (6)	aý a reply bě tim of thirty (30) days MONTHS from to se ABANDONE	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	nication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	arch 2004			
	·	action is non-final.			
· -	Since this application is in condition for allowar		nattere pro	sacution as to the me	rita ia
	closed in accordance with the practice under E				1115 15
		A parto quayro, 1000	O.D. 11, 40	J O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims	•			
	Claim(s) $1-23$ is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-21 and 23</u> is/are wi	thdrawn from conside	ation.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•	
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 22</u> is/are rejected.		•		
	Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.			•	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		;	
Application	on Papers	en de la companya de La companya de la companya de	*	*	
		•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		-		
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2001</u> is/ai				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				•
14) 🗔 🤻	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the draw	ing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11)	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attac	hed Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) 🛛 <i>F</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.(	. 8 119(a) <sub>-</sub>	(d) or (f)	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. 3 110(u)	(4) 01 (1).	
a)[∑	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	have been received			
a)[∑	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents		n Annlicatio	n No	
a)[∑ <i>:</i>	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received i			0
a)[∑ <i>:</i>	<ul> <li>All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	have been received i ty documents have be			e
a)∑ <i>;</i>	<ul> <li>All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	have been received ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received	d in this National Stag	e
a)∑ <i>;</i>	<ul> <li>All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	have been received ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received	d in this National Stag	e
a)∑ <i>;</i>	<ul> <li>All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	have been received ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received	d in this National Stag	e
a)∑ * Se	<ul> <li>All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received	d in this National Stag	e
a)∑ * Se  Attachment( 1) ⊠ Notice	<ul> <li>All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.</li> </ul>	have been received into documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  If the certified copies received to the certified copies received.	en received	I in this National Stag	e
a)∑  * So  Attachment( 1) ⊠ Notice 2) □ Notice	All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau  ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of  s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	have been received into documents have been received into documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Interview Paper interview	en received not received w Summary (F	I in this National Stag	
a)∑  * Se  Attachment( 1) ⊠ Notice 2) □ Notice 3) □ Information	<ul> <li>All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.</li> </ul>	have been received into documents have been received into documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Interview Paper interview	en received not received w Summary (F No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Pal	I in this National Stag  I.  PTO-413)	

Application/Control Number: 10/004,660

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murakami et al (US 6,188,504)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Murakami et al teaches a mirror rocking member (Figures 36 - 44) for an optical deflector, which comprises the mirror rocking member for deflecting light, and driving means (references 704, 706, 719, and 720) for driving the member, the mirror rocking member comprising: a movable plate (reference 701) having a reflective surface (reference 705); elastic

Application/Control Number: 10/004,660

Art Unit: 2872

members (reference 702) for rockably supporting the movable plate; and a support (reference 703) for supporting the elastic members, the movable plate having an electric element (reference 706), which constitutes a part of the driving means, the movable plate including a first portion (Figure 37 the layer at reference 705) having the reflective surface, and a second portion (the layers at the top) including an electric element, the first portion having a reflective-surface forming surface (reference 705) with the reflective surface formed thereon, the reflective-surface forming surface being reflective (column 42 lines 1-4) substantially throughout (the entire surface of reference 705), the second portion having an electric-element forming surface (the top surface of reference 701) with the electric element formed thereon and the reflective-surface forming surface of the first portion being formed in such a shape as to be substantially inscribed in the electric-element forming surface of the second portion (the reflective-forming surface, the bottom surface of the movable plate, is substantially enclosed within the perimeter of the electric-element forming surface so that every vertex, all four corners of the rectangle, of the reflective-forming surface is incident on the perimeter of the electric-element forming surface at the corners of the electric-element forming surface), wherein the reflective surface forming surface of the first portion has an area smaller than an area of the electric-element forming surface of the second portion (the area of the reflective surface forming surface is smaller than the area of the electric element forming surface due to the tapered sides of reference 701), and the reflective-surface forming surface of the first portion is positioned opposite to the electricelement forming surface of the second portion in the direction of the thickness of the movable plate (reference 705 is on the bottom of reference 701 and reference 706 is on the top of reference 701).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,660

Art Unit: 2872

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 2 is allowable over the prior art for at least the reason the prior art fails to teach and/or suggest the reflective-surface forming surface of the first portion having an elliptical shape as set forth in the claimed combination.

Claim 3 is allowable over the prior art for at least the reason the prior art fails to teach and/or suggest the reflective-surface forming surface of the first portion having an dodecagonal shape as set forth in the claimed combination.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Denise S Allen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Denise S Allen Examiner

Art Unit 2872

S)SA

Audrey Chang
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800